UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCE

Ex parte TIMOTHY RUSSEL KIOS, RANDALL STUART LEET, GARY JAY MARTENS, SUSAN MARY MERZ, WILLIAM SKILES BOWLING

and TERRENCE DAVID ALAN

Application 09/853,722

MAILED

MAR 1 0 2006

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) on January 30, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

A review of the file indicates that on February 17, 2005, appellants filed an Appeal Brief under the rules set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c). However, the Appeal Brief filed on February 17, 2005, does not fully comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

- 37 CFR § 41.37(c) states in part:
- (c) (1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c) (1) (I) through (c) (1) (x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c) (1) (I) through (c) (1) (iv) and (c) (1) (vii) through (c) (1) (x) of this section:
- (ix) **Evidence appendix**. An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.
- (x) **Related proceedings appendix**. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

An in-depth review of the Appeal Brief indicates that the following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed February 17, 2005:

1) "Evidence Appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR
§ 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and

Application No. 09/853,722

2) "Related Proceedings Appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

It is required that a supplemental Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html.

On May 17, 2005, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. A review of the Examiner's Answer reveals that it is not in compliance with the headings as required under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

Also, in the Examiner's Answer, the appeal conference is improper. Section 1208 of the <u>Manual of Patent Examining</u>

<u>Procedure</u> (MPEP) (8th Ed., August 2001) states:

APPEAL CONFERENCE

An appeal conference is mandatory in all cases in which an acceptable brief (MPEP § 1206) has been filed. . . .

. . . .

. . . If the examiner's answer does not contain the appropriate a indication that an appeal conference has been held (i.e., including the names of the conferees and identifying themselves as the conferees along with their initials), the Board should return the application directly to the appropriate Technology Center (TC) Director for corrective action. . . This procedure applies to all examiner's answers received by the Board on or after November 1, 2000.

Consequently, there is no evidence that an appeals conference has been held.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is return to the Examiner:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on February 17, 2005, defective;
 - 2) to notify appellants to file a supplemental Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37 or for the examiner to present a statement regarding the position taken on the missing appendices;

Application 09/853,722

- 3) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed May 17, 2005, and submit a revised Examiner's Answer in accordance with the new rules effective September 13, 2004 with the appropriate notation of an appeal conference; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCE

CRAIG R. FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator (571)272-9797

CRF/pgc

cc: Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191